

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GENENTECH, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 18-06582 WHA

v.

JHL BIOTECH, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER RE STIPULATED CONSENT
JUDGMENT**

An order dated September 25, 2019, informed the parties that if they “intend[ed] to seek a consent decree, the Court [would] be reluctant to bless any such consent decree that could have any anti-competitive effect. This would be true even if one of the purposes of the consent decree would be to protect trade secrets” (Dkt. No. 232). The parties have now submitted their stipulated consent judgment and permanent injunction (Dkt. No. 246) for approval. The parties shall please answer:

1. Aside from Exhibit B’s list of specific individuals, withheld for privacy concerns, do the submitted documents comprise the entirety of the agreement between the parties, or do side agreements remain, either written or unwritten?
2. Why do the parties, if they remain free to privately settle and agree to terms, want the Court to grant an injunction that may contain anticompetitive aspects?

The parties shall please jointly respond by **SEPTEMBER 30 AT NOON.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2020.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28