Case 3:14-cv-04741-RS Document 272 Filed 09/13/17 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Vernon M. Winters (SBN 130128) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104-1503 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 vwinters@sidley.com Nicholas Groombridge (pro hac vice) 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 ngroombridge@paulweiss.com Additional counsel listed below Attorneys for Plaintiffs Amgen Inc. and Amgen Manufacturing, Limited	Erik J. Olson (SBN 175815) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: 650.813.5600 Facsimile: 650.494.0792 ejolson@mofo.com Additional counsel listed below Attorneys for Defendants Sandoz Inc., Sandoz International GmbH, and Sandoz GmbH		
10	HAUTED OF A TES	DISTRICT COLID		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12	SAN FRANCI	SCO DIVISION		
13	AMGEN INC. and	Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS		
14	AMGEN MANUFACTURING, LIMITED,			
15	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT		
16	V.	REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6,162,427		
17	SANDOZ INC., SANDOZ INTERNATIONAL GMBH, and	0,102,427		
18	SANDOZ GMBH,			
19	Defendants.			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDE	R FOR Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS		

28 | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS ENTRY OF JUDGMENT REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6.162,427 pa-1801594

WHEREAS Amgen Inc. and Amgen Manufacturing, Limited (collectively, "Amgen")
filed a complaint against Sandoz Inc., Sandoz International GmbH, and Sandoz GmbH
(collectively, "Sandoz") in the Northern District of California (the "Court") on October 24, 2014
(Docket No. 1), and a first amended and supplemental complaint on October 15, 2015 (Docket
No. 145), alleging, among other things, infringement of United States Patent Number 6,162,427
('427 patent);

WHEREAS Sandoz has appeared and denied infringement, and Sandoz Inc. has counterclaimed for declaratory judgment of invalidity of the '427 patent (Docket Nos. 22, 149);

WHEREAS the Court construed certain disputed claim terms associated with claims 1-4, and 6 of the '427 patent ("Asserted Claims") in an order dated August 4, 2016 (Docket No. 205);

WHEREAS the parties have completed fact discovery regarding the '427 patent;

WHEREAS Amgen has provided an expert report regarding the alleged infringement of the Asserted Claims of the '427 patent, and Sandoz has provided an expert report regarding the alleged invalidity of the Asserted Claims of the '427 patent;

WHEREAS the time to add or amend infringement and invalidity contentions or add or amend the Asserted Claims has passed;

WHEREAS the parties agree that Amgen may preserve its right to appeal the claim construction order after a final judgment is entered pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291 & 1292(c)(2);

THEREFORE Amgen and Sandoz agree that:

- 1. Amgen and Sandoz stipulate that Sandoz does not infringe the Asserted Claims of the '427 patent within the meaning of any provision of 35 U.S.C. § 271 in light of the claim constructions included in the August 4, 2016 order, Docket No. 205.
- 2. Amgen and Sandoz stipulate that the Court may enter a judgment of non-infringement in favor of Sandoz and against Amgen for Amgen's Third Cause of Action of its First Amended and Supplemental Complaint filed on October 15, 2015 (Docket No. 145) and

Sandoz's Sixth Counterclaim of Sandoz Inc.'s Answer to Amended Complaint filed November 2, 2015 (Docket No. 149).

- 3. Amgen and Sandoz stipulate that Sandoz Seventh Counterclaim of Sandoz Inc.'s Answer to Amended Complaint filed November 2, 2015 (Docket No. 149) for a declaration of invalidity for the '427 Patent will be dismissed without prejudice and that Sandoz will be allowed to assert the Seventh Counterclaim in the event this matter is remanded for further consideration following any appeal.
- 4. This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order are without prejudice to Amgen's right to appeal the Claim Construction Order (Docket No. 205), and any final judgment based thereon pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1291 & 1292(c)(2).
- 5. No party will conduct any further discovery or pretrial activities related to allegations of liability or damages regarding the '427 patent, including any activity related to Sandoz's alleged defense and counterclaim that the '427 patent is invalid.
- 6. Neither party shall be obligated to pay the opposing party any money in connection with this stipulation or resolution, and Sandoz agrees not to seek its costs with respect to the '427 patent. Neither party shall use as evidence or rely on the fact of this stipulation or the judgment in favor of Sandoz and against Amgen directed to the '427 patent to argue that this case is exceptional.
- 7. (i) Neither party shall use as evidence or rely on the fact of this stipulation or the judgment in favor of Sandoz and against Amgen directed to the '427 patent in connection with the continuing litigation involving United States Patent Number 8,940,878, (ii) neither party shall assert in any forum that this stipulation or the judgment in favor of Sandoz and against Amgen directed to the '427 patent is inconsistent with positions regarding infringement taken by any party or its experts prior to the date of this stipulation, and (iii) neither party shall use as evidence or rely on the contents of this stipulation or the judgment in favor of Sandoz and against Amgen directed to the '427 patent in continuing litigation relating to Amgen's unfair

1	competition and conversion claims except to note the fact that judgment has entered with respec			
2	to the '427 patent and that the '427 patent was the only patent asserted against Sandoz by Amge			
3	prior to October 15, 2015. For the avoidance of doubt, this stipulation has no impact on the			
4	claims, defenses, or prayer for relief of either party related to the validity of, infringement of, or			
5	relief available for the '878 patent.			
6	8. Neither party shall issue a press release or make an affirmative press statement			
7	regarding this stipulation.			
8				
9	Respectfully submitted,			
10	Dated: September 13, 2017	By: <u>/s/ Nicholas Groombridge</u>		
11		Nicholas Groombridge PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP		
12		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019-6064		
13		Telephone: (212) 373-3000		
14		Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 ngroombridge@paulweiss.com		
15		Vernon M. Winters (SBN 130128)		
16		SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, Suite 2000		
17		San Francisco, CA 94104-1503 Telephone: (415) 772-1200		
18		Facsimile: (415) 772-7400		
19		vwinters@sidley.com		
20		Attorneys for Amgen Inc. and Amgen Manufacturing, Ltd.		
21	Dated: September 13, 2017	By: /s/ Erik J. Olson		
22		Erik J. Olson (SBN 175815) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
23		755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304		
24		Telephone: 650.813.5600 Facsimile: 650.494.0792		
25		ejolson@mofo.com		
26		Attorneys for Defendants Sandoz Inc., Sandoz International GmbH, and Sandoz GmbH		
27	3			
,,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6.162,427 pa-1801594

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other Signatories shown above. Dated: September 13, 2017 By: /s/ Sue Wang Sue Wang PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: 9/13 _____, 2017 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR Case No. 3:14-cv-04741-RS

1	ADDITIONAL COUNSEL		
2	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	
3	Sue Wang (SBN 286247) 555 California Street, Suite 2000	Eric C. Pai (SBN 247604) 755 Page Mill Road	
4	San Francisco, CA 94104-1503 Telephone: (415) 772-1200	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: 650.813.5600	
5	Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 Email: abaxter@sidley.com	Facsimile: 650.494.0792 Email: epai@mofo.com	
67	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP	Stephen David Keane (S.B.N. 247588) 12531 High Bluff Drive, Ste. 100	
8	Eric Alan Stone (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Jennifer H. Wu (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	San Diego, California 92130 Telephone: (858) 720-5100 Facsimile: (858) 720-5125	
9	Jennifer Gordon Peter Sandel (pro hac vice)	Email: skeane@mofo.com	
10	Stephen A. Maniscalco (pro hac vice) 1285 Avenue of the Americas	Attorneys for Defendants Sandoz Inc., Sandoz GmbH, Sandoz International GmbH, and Lek	
11	New York, NY 10019-6064 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990	Pharmaceuticals, d.d.	
12	Email: estone@paulweiss.com jwu@paulweiss.com		
13	psandel@paulweiss.com jgordon@paulweiss.com		
14	smaniscalco@paulweiss.com		
15 16	AMGEN INC.		
17	Wendy A. Whiteford (SBN 150283) Lois M. Kwasigroch (SBN 130159)		
18	One Amgen Center Drive Thousand Oaks, CA 91320-1789		
19	Telephone: (805) 447-1000 Facsimile: (805) 447-1010		
20	Email: wendy@amgen.com		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Amgen Inc. and Amgen Manufacturing Limited		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27		_	
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT REGARDING U.S. PATENT NO. 6.162,427 pa-1801594