|            | Case3:14-cv-04741-RS Docun                             | nent133 | Filed09/08/15              | Page1 of 3              |
|------------|--|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
|            |  |         |                            |                         |
|            |  |         |                            |                         |
| 2          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 3          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 1          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 5          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 5          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 7          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 3          |  |         |                            |                         |
| )          |  |         |                            |                         |
| )          | UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTR                           |         |                            |                         |
|            | AMGEN INC. and   |         | Vo. 3:14-cv-0474           | ı DC                    |
|            | AMGEN INC. and AMGEN MANUFACTURING, LIMITED,           |         |                            | 1-K5                    |
|            | Plaintiffs,  |         | POSED]<br>ER GRANTING      | JOINT MOTION            |
| <u>ا</u> ا | VS.  |         | IFT STAY OF P.<br>CEEDINGS | ATENT                   |
| 5          | SANDOZ INC., SANDOZ<br>INTERNATIONAL GMBH, and         |         |                            |                         |
| )          | SANDOZ GMBH,   |         |                            |                         |
| ,          | Defendants.  |         |                            |                         |
| 3          |  |         |                            |                         |
| )          |  |         |                            |                         |
| )          |  |         |                            |                         |
| -          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 2          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 3          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 1          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 5          |  |         |                            |                         |
| 5          |  |         |                            |                         |
|            |  |         |                            |                         |
|            | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT<br>MOTION TO LIFT STAY |         | C                          | ase No. 3:14-cv-04741-R |

Amgen brought this action, asserting claims of unfair competition and conversion under California state law and patent infringement; Sandoz counterclaimed. The Court dismissed Amgen's claims under California state law. Dkt. No. 105. The Court then granted Rule 54(b) judgment on the dismissed claims and stayed all remaining claims in this action, pending the Federal Circuit's resolution of Amgen's appeal. Dkt. No. 111. The circuit issued a panel opinion on July 21, 2015. *Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.*, No. 2015-1499, 2015 WL 4430108 (Fed. Cir. Jul. 21, 2015). The parties are each currently seeking *en banc* review of aspects of that opinion. The parties now jointly request that the Court lift the stay on the remaining claims.

Having considered the parties' joint motion to lift the stay of patent proceedings in this case, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court GRANTS the motion and ORDERS as follows:

- The stay on all remaining proceedings in this case (see Dkt. No. 111) is lifted.
- A case management conference is scheduled for October 1, 2015, at 10:00 AM.
- The deadline for Sandoz International GmbH and Sandoz GmbH to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the complaint for either entity remains tolled until twenty days after the entry of this Order. *See* Dkt. No. 111, at 3.
- The parties shall submit a case management statement by September 21, 2015, proposing a new schedule for this case, including new dates for the Invalidity Contentions and accompanying document production under Patent L.R. 3-3 and 3-4. These deadlines and any other pending or previously-set deadlines under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Civil Local Rules, Patent Local Rules, or any order of this Court, except for the deadline for Sandoz International GmbH and Sandoz GmbH to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the complaint, shall be tolled until new dates to be set by the Court in a further scheduling order.
- No discovery shall be served and no motions shall be filed (except for any
  motion on behalf of Sandoz International GmbH or Sandoz GmbH in response to
  the complaint) until after the case management conference. Each party shall

describe in the case management statement its plans for discovery and any motions it intends to bring at the initial stages of the case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: September 8, 2015 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE